

SOLDIER AND FOOD SERVICE PERSONNEL ATTITUDES TOWARD NUTRITION INITIATIVES

BY

B. QUIGLEY

D. ENGELL

E. HIRSCH

FINAL REPORT

31 MARCH 1987

FOR THE PERIOD 1 DECEMBER 1986 TO 31 MARCH 1987

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

UNITED STATES ARMY NATICK RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER NATICK, MASSACHUSETTS 01760-5000

SCIENCE AND ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DIRECTORATE

DISCLAIMERS

The findings contained in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of trade names in this report does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such items.

DESTRUCTION NOTICE

For Classified Documents:

Follow the procedures in DoD 5200.22-M, Industrial Security Manual, Section II-19 or DoD 5200.1-R, Information Security Program Regulation, Chapter IX.

For Unclassified/Limited Distribution Documents:

Destroy by any method that prevents disclosure of contents or reconstruction of the document.

UNCLASSIFIED
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED		1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS			
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		8	/AVAILABILITY OF		distribution
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCH	DULE	unlimited.	or public re	iease,	distribution
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NU	MBER(S)	5. MONITORING (ORGANIZATION RE	PORT NU	MBER(S)
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION U.S.Army Natick RD&E Center	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) STRNC-YBH	7a. NAME OF MO	DNITORING ORGAN	IIZATION	
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		7b. ADDRESS (City	y, State, and ZIP C	ode)	
Kansas Street Natick, MA 01760~5020					
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT	INSTRUMENT IDE	NTIFICATI	ON NUMBER
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	en kalana an 18 km at 19 km a Tanan at 19 km at 19	10. SOURCE OF F	gare and an extensive and are the second and the se	gratura / Nova Landage et a Nova et a landage et a landag	
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 623763 819A1	PROJECT NO. 87PP7814	TASK NO. N/A	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO. CUS 1167
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) S Initiatives	oldier and Food Se			Contract Contract of the Contract	the second secon
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)	Diama P. Hima	h Eduand		•	
	COVERED	14. DATE OF REPO		Day) 15.	. PAGE COUNT 43
Final Report FROM_ 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	<u> Dec86 T&1Mar87</u>	1987 March	31		40
US Army Research Institute project #3M263763D819					
17. COSATI CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS (I		e if necessary and RCEPTION		by block number)
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	FOOD SERVICE P	ERSONNEL AT) DI	
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necess				<u> </u>	
Soldiers' and food service personnel's perceptions and attitudes toward the Nutrition Initiatives, as well as their nutrition awareness and knowledge, were assessed via questionnaires and interviews at the Ft. Riley NCO Academy civilian-contractor operation and at Ft. Lewis. Results indicate: 1. Soldiers who want to lose weight use the food information cards. 2. More females than males are interested in weight reduction. 3. Weight conscious soldiers tend to choose nutritious, low calorie food. 4. Weight maintenance and nutrition awareness are related. 5. Troops have more knowledge about practical nutrition than specific food nutrients. 6. When asked to indicate the lower calorie or more nutritious item of two alternative foods most soldiers were able to correctly identify the more nutritious or low calorie item. However, fewer soldiers indicated they would actually choose to eat the lower calorie or more nutritious item in a serving line. 7. Generalization of these results is limited due to sample limitations.					
☑ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☐ SAME A		Unclassifi	ed		
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Barbara Quigley, Ph.D.		226. TELEPHONE (I 617–651–442.			FICE SYMBOL IC-YBH

		£.*
		17:

		771111111111111111111111111111111111111
•		
		V.
		l.

Preface

The present investigation on the determination of soldiers and food service personnel's attitudes, knowledge and behavior toward the nutrition initiatives was conducted by the Human Factors Branch of the Behavioral Sciences Division, Science and Advanced Technology Directorate under work unit CUS1167 under project number 87PP7814.

The authors wish to thank the people who have been instrumental in seeing this project through to completion. Special thanks go to Charles Greene and Heather Dragsbaek for their help in data coding and analysis; and Major Carlson and Capt. Szeto for their assistance in setting up field studies with the Primary Leadership Development Course students at Ft. Riley, KS and the 80th Ordnance Battalion at Ft. Lewis, WA. The authors also wish to acknowledge the excellent support provided by the personnel at Ft. Riley, KS and Ft. Lewis, WA.

This report is part of United States Army Research Institute of Environmental Medicine project #3M263763D819.

		s d
·		
		v r

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Preface	iii
List of Tables	V
Introduction	1 2 3 3 6 6 9
Method	2
Results and Discussion	3
Customer Demographic Characteristics	3
Perceptions of Dining Facility	6
Nutrition Related Behaviors	6
Nutrition Knowledge	
Attitudes Toward Nutrition Initiatives	10
Weight Effects	13
Gender Differences	15
Nutrition Awareness	16
Food Service Personnel	17
Conclusions	19
Recommendations	21
List of References	22
Appendix: Questionnaires	23

LIST OF TABLES

			Page
TABLE	1.	Demographic Characteristics of Ft. Riley and Ft. Lewis Populations	4
TABLE	2.	Education	4
TABLE	3.	Army Demographic Questions	5
TABLE	4.	Percent Meals Eaten at Home Base Dining Facility all Soldiers	5
TABLE	5.	General Areas of Concern	6
TABLÈ	6.	Nutrition Related Behavior	7
TABLE	7.	Percentages Eating Foods High in Sodium	8
TABLE	8.	Sodium Use	8
TABLE	9.	Milk Consumption by Fort	9
TABLE	10.	Nutrition Knowledge	10
TABLE	11.	Nutrition Practice	10
TABLE	12.	Soldiers' Food Related Attitudes	11
TABLE	13.	Food Items Soldiers Would Choose to Lose Weight	12
TABLE	14.	Food Items Chosen as Most Nutritious	12
TABLE	15.	Food That Would Ordinarily be Chosen by Soldiers from Two Alternatives	12
TABLE	16.	Percentage of Soldiers Wanting to Lose Weight who Choose the Low Calorie Alternative by Gender	13
TABLE	17.	Perceptions of Nutrition Information	13
TABLE	18.	Height and Weight Characteristics	14
TABLE	19.	Distribution Among Weight Categories	14
TABLE	20.	Reported Behavior Toward Nutrition by Gender	15
TABLE	21.	Attitudes Toward Nutrition Initiatives by Gender	16

LIST OF TABLES (cont'd)

			Page
TABLE	22.	Percentage of Nutritionally Aware and Unaware Soldiers by Weight Code and Gender	16
TABLE	23.	Attitudes Toward Nutrition of Nutritionally Aware and Unaware Soldiers	17
TABLE	24.	Food Service Personnel's Attitudes Towards Low Calorie Foods	18
TABLE	25.	Food Service Personnel's Attitudes Toward Nutrition Initiatives	.19
TABLE	26.	Food Service Personnel's Perceptions of Troops	19

	1,	
	·	
	J.	
·		

SOLDIER AND FOOD SERVICE PERSONNEL ATTITUDES TOWARD NUTRITION INITIATIVES

INTRODUCTION

Most efforts to change dietary behavior in the United States have focused on reducing fat, salt and sugar intake, and redistributing the proportions of food items consumed to include more wholesome foods (U.S. Senate, 1977). Evaluation of these programs reveals: there is a positive relationship between education and nutrition knowledge (Murray, 1977); programs produce increases in nutrition-related knowledge (Looker, Walker, Hamilton & Shannon, 1982); attendance at nutrition education programs produces positive attitudes toward nutrition education (Carruth & Musgrave, 1979); the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) guidelines most frequently adhered to by females are related to reducing body fat, whereas those adhered to by men are related to the prevention of cardiovascular disease (van den Reek & Keith, 1984).

In 1985 the Army implemented a series of initiatives to improve the physical fitness, weight control, and nutritional awareness of its troops. These steps were designed to: (1) instill in soldiers an awareness of the importance of nutrition, (2) create a desire to eat nutritious meals and maintain a "Fit to Fight" attitude, (3) educate soldiers to make appropriate food choices, (4) provide a variety of nutritious menu alternatives to the soldiers, and (5) modify menus to reduce salt and fat consumption.

Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Logistics (ODCSLOG) tasked the Military Nutrition Division, U.S. Army Research Institute of Environmental Medicine (USARIEM), to evaluate the impact of the initiatives and tasked U.S. Army Forces Command (FORSCOM) and U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command (TRADOC) to identify appropriate test units. The three main objectives of the Military Nutrition Division's research effort were to collect food selection and nutrient consumption data in garrison dining facilities, to compare consumption patterns with the recommendations of the Office of The Surgeon General, and compare contract versus military dining facilities. The Military Nutrition Division (Schnakenberg, 1986) requested the Behavioral Science Division, Science and Advanced Technology Directorate, to assess the food service personnel's perceptions of, and attitudes towards, the Nutrition Initiatives and to evaluate the military consumer's nutrition attitudes, awareness and behavior as an adjunct of USARIEM's effort to evaluate actual consumption. A military operation using the Master Menu

at Fort Lewis, and a civilian-contract operation not using the Master Menu at Fort Riley, were chosen as test sites by ODCSLOG because comparison of the consumption data from these two facilities would suggest whether or not contractors should use the Master Menu. Also, data from these two locations provided nutrient consumption comparisons between soldiers who were required to eat all meals in the dining facility (Ft. Riley Non-Commissioned Officer (NCO) Academy) and soldiers with options of eating in the dining facilities or elsewhere (Ft. Lewis). In addition, because of the probable difference in time in service between these study populations, the sample would include soldiers of varying ages.

Fort Lewis. Soldiers rated the Ft. Lewis dining facility.

Fort Riley. The NCO Academy Dining Facility at Ft. Riley, KS, served as the test site and Primary Leadership Development Course (PLDC) students supported by this dining facility served as the test unit. Although one reason for choosing the NCO Academy for studying food consumption was its mandatory use, for purposes of the questionnaire soldiers were asked to rate their regular home dining facility and not the NCO Academy Dining Facility. This decision was based on their limited exposure to the Ft. Riley facility.

Generalizability. A number of limitations to the generalizability of this study exist. The most striking are the absence of baseline data, the highly select enlisted personnel at Ft. Riley, the small population, the very small female and food service personnel population, the judging by test personnel at Ft. Riley of facilities other than the Ft. Riley contract operation, the possible contamination of the Ft. Riley group situated in one facility while judging a second, the lack of knowledge of nutrition information available at the Ft. Riley soldiers' base facilities, and the uniqueness of the Fort Riley civilian-contractor operation. Also, as only two bases were studied, it is not known if different dining facilities, military food service personnel, or a representative sample would provide similar or different data.

METHOD

One hundred forty-two soldiers participated. Eighty-one soldiers, 74 males and 7 females, ranging in age from 19 to 38 years, were from Ft. Riley. Sixty-one soldiers, 39 males and 22 females, ranging in age from 18 to 31 years, were from Ft. Lewis. Thus there were a total of 113 males and 29 females in the study.

Questionnaire.

The questionnaire (see Appendix) contained three parts:
Part I requested background information from the soldiers, Part
II assessed food habits, Part III assessed nutrition knowledge
and attitudes. Scale information is provided in the results
section.

Procedure.

Data collectors from the U. S. Army Research Institute of Environmental Medicine selected a sample of 50 soldiers at each base and monitored their food consumption at breakfast, lunch, and dinner meals for eight days. On the evening of the last day of the USARIEM study, all soldiers eating at that facility were assembled. The survey administrator distributed questionnaires, explained the nature of the study, obtained written consent, and gave detailed information about the various scales used in the questionnaire.

Some members of the Ft. Riley and Ft. Lewis populations declined to fill out questionnaires. Therefore, the questionnaire sample does not include a subset of all members of the nutrient consumption sample.

Food Service Personnel.

Interviews were individually conducted by Natick personnel with the twelve food service personnel in the civilian contracted dining hall (Ft. Riley). These personnel were questioned on: (1) their perceptions of the soldiers eating in their facility; and (2) their attitudes toward, and awareness of, the Nutrition Initiatives.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data collected from the soldiers at Ft. Riley were compared with data collected from those stationed at Ft. Lewis. Percents are reported in Tables where appropriate. Significant differences were isolated with analysis of variance techniques. Pearson product moment correlations were computed to aid interpretation where necessary. Significance level was set at $p=\emptyset.05$. Percentages were computed on data collected from the interviews of the food service personnel at Ft. Riley. These results follow the information received from the customers at both installations.

Customer Demographic Characteristics.

Some population differences occurred on age, sex, education attained, service related attributes, and dining facility usage. General population characteristics and population differences are specified in Tables 1 thru 5.

Eighty percent (113) of the soldiers were male and 20% (29) were female, with the Ft. Lewis population generally younger and

containing most (81%) of the female population (Table 1). Roughly 98% of the soldiers surveyed are high school graduates or better. The Ft. Riley soldiers are generally better educated than the Ft. Lewis soldiers (Table 2). Because of known relationships between nutrition knowledge and education (Murray, 1977), the Ft. Riley soldiers were expected to demonstrate greater nutrition knowledge than the Ft. Lewis soldiers.

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Ft. Riley and Ft. Lewis Populations*

	Ft. Riley	Ft. Lewis
Age:	Mean = 25	Mean = 21
Race/Ethnic:		
Caucasian	79	57
Black	14	28
Hispanic	4	3
Oriental	3	8
Other	1	3
Sex:		
Male	91	64
Female	9	36
Smoking Status:		
Smokers	49	48
Nonsmokers	49	53

^{*}All figures, except age, are percentages.

Table 2: Education*

Finished Grade School High School Graduate	Ft. Riley 1 49	Ft. Lewis 1 59
Skilled Job Training Some College	6 41	13 21
College Graduate	3	2

^{*}Percentages specifying attained education level.

The Ft. Riley population is more likely to receive a rations allowance than the Ft. Lewis population (Table 3). Possibly related to these ration allowance differences, the Ft. Riley soldiers eat fewer of their meals (33%) at the base dining facility than the Ft. Lewis soldiers (52%). Fifty percent of the Ft. Riley and 35% of the Ft. Lewis soldiers eat at least 15 times per week at places other than the base dining facility with the Ft. Riley soldiers tending to eat at private residences and the Ft. Lewis soldiers tending to eat at fast food establishments or from vending machines. Given these

differences, the Ft. Riley soldiers may be exposed less often to the Army's nutrition information than the Ft. Lewis soldiers. In general, exposure tends to increase liking, and Zajonc (1968) has suggested that exposure is a sufficient condition for liking and attitude change.

Table 3: Army Demographic Questions*

	Ft. Riley	Ft. Lewis
Length of Service (month):		
Mean	6Ø	21
Low-High	(20-193)	(3-74)
Liking for Military Service:	,	, .
Dislike	8	3Ø
Neutral	15	19
Like	77	51
Rations Allowance:		
Yes	64	3
No	36	97
Rank:		
E-1		8
E-2	to to	15
E-3		46
E-4	40	3Ø
£–5	55	
E - 6	4	
11-0	-	

^{*}All figures save length of service are percentages.

Soldiers reported eating an average of 2.3 meals per day and 1.3 (Ft. Riley) or 1.9 (Ft. Lewis) snacks. This result may be age related with the younger Ft. Lewis soldiers more inclined to snack (possibly at vending machines) than the older Ft. Riley soldiers. Roughly 90% of those surveyed were raised on cooking styles that contain high cholesterol breakfast items, such as bacon, ham, sausage, and eggs. Forty-six percent of those surveyed eat eggs daily with an additional 36% eating eggs at least weekly. Soldiers reported being more likely to eat at the base dining facility during the weekdays, with breakfast and the midday meal being better attended than the dinner or late night meal (see Table 4). This suggests that attempts at cholesterol reduction might profitably be targeted at the weekday breakfast meal.

Table 4: Percent Meals Eaten at Home Base Dining Facility all Soldiers

Night	Breakfast	Mid-Day	Dinner	Late
Weekdays	57	59	52	14
Weekend	3Ø	43	37	3Ø

Perceptions of Dining Facility.
Soldiers were asked whether they perceived any problems with the garrison dining facility. Responses were on a scale with anchors labeled significant attraction, minor attraction, neither an attraction nor a problem, minor problem, or significant problem. The numbers of times an item was indicated as a significant or minor problem were computed. The most frequently cited problem areas are shown in Table 5. The Ft. Lewis population is more concerned about the degree of military atmosphere, food quality and quantity, food variety and speed of service or speed of lines than the Ft. Riley population. As Ft. Riley soldiers are from different dining facilities, it was not possible to discover if this results from dining facility quality. Irrespective of test location, female soldiers were more critical of food quality and food variety than males, and the younger soldiers were more critical of quality, variety, and speed of service than older soldiers. As Ft. Lewis contains more female and younger soldiers than Ft. Riley, the differences between these facilities may result from sample differences.

Table 5: General Areas of Concern*

	Ft. Riley	Ft. Lewis
Quality of food	42	63
Variety of regular meal food (weekday)	40	63
Speed of service or lines	4Ø	53
Monotony of same facility	4Ø	33
Too much military atmosphere	37	42
Hours of operation	36	59
Service by dining facility personnel	33	26
Quantity of food	3Ø	58
Variety of short order food	3Ø	65
Variety of regular meal food (weekend)	29	63
Convenience of location	2Ø	10

^{*}Percent rating as problem areas.

Nutrition-Related Behaviors.

Soldiers were questioned on how often they used the salad bar, the criteria they employed in selecting food, how often they took advantage of the low calorie menu, and whether they used the information on the food service menu to track calories. Responses were on a graphic scale with anchors labelled never, monthly, weekly, daily, and six times per day. Responses were spaced logarithmically along the line. The percentage of soldiers indicating responses to these items on a weekly or daily basis are tabulated in Table 6 and reveal that food selection is based on what is liked or looks good rather than on nutritional value. In addition to the differences between test facilities, the Ft. Lewis females are more likely to report using the information on the food service menu to track calories and more likely to take advantage of the low calorie menu than the Ft. Lewis males.

Table 6: Nutrition Related Behavior*

Ft. Riley			
How often do you:	Weekly	Daily	
-use the salad bar in this facility?	38	25	
-choose food based on what you like?	22	71	
-choose food based on nutritional content?	14	21	
-choose food because the food looks good?	24	38	
-use the information on the food service			
menu to keep track of your calories?	7	5	
-take advantage of the low calorie menu?	6	3	
Ft. Lewis		<u></u>	
How often do you:			
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Weekly	Daily	
-use the salad bar in this facility?	27	25	
-choose food based on what you like?	14	78	
-choose food based on nutritional content?	23	36	
-choose food because the food looks good?	23	42	
-use the information on the food service	_		
menu to keep track of your calories?	8	. 8	
-take advantage of the low calorie menu?	16	2	

^{*}Percentages reporting behavior on weekly or daily basis on a logarithmic scale with anchors from never to six times per day.

Soldiers were questioned on how often they ate a number of high salt content foods on the same logarithmic scale that was used for nutrition practice. Table 7 reveals there were many similarities in the two groups in percentages eating high sodium content foods on a weekly and daily basis.

Table 7: Percentages Eating Foods High in Sodium*

	Ft. 1	Riley	Ft. 1	Lewis
	Weekly	Daily	Weekly	Daily
Cheese (except cottage)	51	23	3Ø	27
Bread	47	40	36	56
Lunch meats (hams, salamis)	43	13	36	5
Canned Vegetables	42	16	32	18
Bacon	36	14	26	37
Margarine/salted butter	31	24	52	39
Breakfast cereals	31	21	13	31
Pickles/sauces	31	5	39	12
Sausages	31	4	22	8
Crackers	29	3	15	10
Canned/packaged soups	22	1	25	5
Salted peanuts	22	1	2Ø	2
Bouillon	11	3	10	7

^{*}Percentages reporting eating a particular food on a weekly or daily basis. Scale is logarithmic with anchors never to six times per day.

Additional questions on salt usage revealed 40% of those surveyed salt their food at the table before tasting and agree that salt is important to their enjoyment of food. Over 60% of both populations ascribe to the mistaken belief that additional salt is important on hot, humid days (see Table 8).

Table 8: Sodium Use*

De la la fire de la la la la fire de la la la fire de la la la fire de la la la la fire de la la la fire de la la la fire de la fire	Ft. Riley	Ft. Lewis
Do you salt food at the table before tasting it?	46	38
Salt is important to food enjoyment	41	44
It is important to take additional salt on hot humid days if you are very active and are sweating a lot.	66	67

^{*}Percent indicating answering affirmatively.

Several questions, shown in Table 9, sought to determine soldiers milk consumption. Sixty percent of the soldiers surveyed drink 1-1/2 or more glasses of milk in an average day. Soldiers state they drink more whole milk than low fat milk with more females drinking whole milk than males.

Table 9: Milk Consumption by Fort*

	Ft. Riley	Ft. Lewis
Drink 1-1/2 or more glasses of milk in an average day	54	7Ø
Drink milk for strong bones	40	38
Drink low fat milk at least weekly	40	36
Drink whole milk at least weekly	42	60

^{*}Percentages agreeing with the statement.

Nutrition Knowledge.

Some multiple choice questions assessed soldiers' nutrition related knowledge. Table 10 shows most soldiers know that fast food meals are high in salt and fat, the sources of protein, the nutritional benefits of calcium, and the proper caloric intake for young, inactive adults. Fewer soldiers know the major food groups, the characteristics of low fat milk, the food component which provides the highest calories per ounce, the sources of B vitamins, or the sources of carbohydrates.

A nutrition knowledge score was calculated by adding soldier's correct response on each nutrition knowledge question to obtain an overall score. This score was then correlated with a number of indices: education, gender, time in service, weight code, desire to lose weight, and desire to gain weight. One significant correlation occurred. Wanting to lose weight was negatively related to nutrition knowledge. However, this relationship was true for females but not for males.

Table 10: Nutrition Knowledge*

	Ft Diley	Ft. Lewis
Calcium helps build bones and teeth	96	88
Which food is in the food group as	50	00
chicken	94	9ø
Protein is found in meats, fish, poultry	88	82
Which food is the best choice for a	00	82
reducing diet	84	75
		75 55
Fast food meals are high in salt and fat	66	55
Caloric intake for young adults of average		
weight and low activity level	60	4Ø
Which food is lowest in salt	5 9	37
Major food groups (fruits, vegetables,		
milk products, protein)	56	78
In which foods iron is found	56	41
Which choice is not a dietary guideline	52	68
Which food is not a good source of fiber	4Ø	32
Which foods are a good source of B vitamin	ıs 39	37
Low fat milk has less calories, less		•
cholesterol and as much calcium and		
protein as whole milk	28	28
Sugar gives the most energy	28	38
Where carbohydrates are found	24	28
more carrellarasse are route	₩ 4	20

^{*}Percent giving correct answer.

Attitude toward Nutrition Initiatives.

Soldiers' perceptions of the usefulness of the cards used in the serving line to provide calorie and nutrition information were assessed and shown in Table 11. The information available on cards at the Ft. Riley soldiers' home base facilities is unknown. Cards in the line at Ft. Riley contained only calorie information and were color coded on the basis of calorie content. Red, yellow and green represented high, medium, and low calorie content because food service personnel apparently did not understand that the color coding was supposed to represent nutritional and caloric value.

Table 11: Nutrition Practice*

	Ft. Riley	Ft. Lewis
"Calorie Cards" help me:		
- select food high in nutritional value	22	38
- select food low in calories	31	49

^{*}Figures are percent who agree with the statement.

The percentage of soldiers finding the cards useful for selecting low calorie food appears low (31% and 49% for Ft. Riley and Ft. Lewis, respectively), but when compared with the percentages at each base attempting weight loss, these percentages are quite respectable. Roughly one—third of the military personnel surveyed are attempting to lose weight. Of the personnel who want to lose weight 35% use the cards (56% of the females and 25% of the males, or 25% at Ft. Riley and 48% at Ft. Lewis).

The cards were less useful for selecting food high in nutritional value. Conceivably, cards in use at the Ft. Riley soldiers home bases do not include nutritional information and many Ft. Lewis soldiers may realize their cards do not contain useful nutrition information. Perhaps because of the prominent calorie information, many soldiers perceive the cards as only providing calorie information. If this is so, adequately reflecting caloric and nutritional value on the cards may improve card use.

Soldiers were queried on several aspects of the food now available in the dining hall. Table 12 shows that irrespective of installation, soldiers think that the food available in the dining hall is not as nutritious as that found in restaurants, and does not help overweight personnel lose weight. Soldiers also think it is not easy to find a low calorie meal in the base dining facility. Forty-four percent of those surveyed agree the food served provides a healthful diet. Fewer than 20% of the population perceive the food as too salty, whereas over 30% think the food too bland.

Table 12: Soldiers' Food Related Attitudes*

	Ft. Riley	Ft. Lewis
The current food offered in the dining hall: - provides a healthful diet - tastes bland - is as nutritious as in a restaurant - helps overweight personnel lose weight - lets me find a low calorie meal as easily as at home or at a restaurant	_	43 42 36 35
- tastes salty	15	18

^{*}Percent agreeing with the statement.

Soldiers were given two alternate foods and asked to choose between them under the conditions of practicing good nutrition, or attempting to lose weight. They were then asked to indicate which food they would ordinarily choose. Tables 13, 14, and 15 report the percentage making the appropriate choice under the conditions specified.

Table 13: Food Items Soldiers Would Choose to Lose Weight*

	Ft. Riley	Ft. Lewis
Regular/low calorie menu option	78	85
Fresh fruit/pastries	92	92
Low calorie/regular dressings	90	85
Whole/low fat milk	90	93
Fried/baked food	94	90
Reduced/regular portions	89	85
Potatoes with/without gravy	9Ø	86
Frosted Flakes/Shredded Wheat	87	88
Chicken with/without skin	83	90

^{*}Percent choosing the ow calorie alternative.

Table 14: Food Items Chosen as Most Nutritious*

	Ft. Riley	Ft. Lewis
Butter/margarine	77	79
Low fat/whole milk	81	69
Unsweetened juice/canned soda	91	89
Fried/baked foods	94	82
Herbal seasonings/salt	86	79
Pastries/fresh fruit	96	9ø
Chicken with/without	82	82

^{*}Percent choosing the more nutritious alternative.

Table 15: Food that Would Ordinarily be Chosen by Soldiers from two Alternatives*

	Ft. Riley	Ft. Lewis
Fresh fruit/cakes and pies	73	66
Baked/fried chicken	57	53
Low/High Calorie food	57	52
Whole/Low fat milk	52	43
Buttered/non-buttered vegetables	49	40
Potatoes with/without gravy	48	39

^{*}Percent choosing the low calorie or more nutritious alternative.

Comparing Tables 13, 14, and 15 reveals many soldiers prefer the less nutritious or high calorie item. However, most soldiers know which food, among the alternatives listed, is the appropriate low calorie or more nutritious choice. This suggests soldiers wanting to lose weight can make the appropriate low calorie choice. Further analyses revealed that

soldiers wanting to lose weight choose the low calorie alternative more than the general population. Also, within the population trying to loseweight, more females than males choose the low calorie alternative (see Table 16).

Table 16: Percentage of soldiers wanting to lose weight who choose the Low Calorie Alternative by Gender

	Both Genders	Males	Females
Baked/fried chicken	73	67	82
Low/High Calorie food	8Ø	74	88
Low Fat/Whole Milk	69	68	71
Buttered/non-buttered vegetables	6Ø	44	65
Fruit/Cakes and pies	84	81	88
Plain/Gravied Potatoes	7 3	70	77

Soldiers' perceptions of the usefulness of the nutrition information are tabulated in Table 17. Roughly 50% of those surveyed think the information is supplied to help them choose nutritious foods and maintain desirable weights; a third think the information increased their nutrition awareness. Few soldiers agree that the nutrition information influences their food selections off the installation or believe the information improved their attitudes toward proper nutrition. Soldiers believe the nutrition information is supplied to aid them with weight control and help them make good nutritional food choices, but believe the food currently available does not reflect this intent.

Table 17: Perceptions of Nutrition Information*

	Ft. Riley	Ft. Lewis
The nutrition information:		
 is being supplied to help us choose 		
more nutritious foods	49	63
 is being supplied to help Army personne reach and maintain optimal weight 	≘1 4 9	54
 has increased my awareness of proper nutrition 	30	34
- has improved my attitude toward proper nutrition	27	31
 has influenced my food choices at restaurant and at home 	18	18

^{*}Percent agreeing with the statement.

Weight Effects.

Weight data, in Table 18, show roughly half the population at both Ft. Riley and Ft. Lewis is making some effort to control body weight. Differences between the two populations on height and weight result form the larger number of females in the

Ft.Lewis population. Female soldiers were more likely to report trying to lose weight than the male soldiers.

Table 18: Height and Weight Characteristics

	Ft.	Riley	Ft.	Lewis
	Males	Females	Males	Females
Height:	5'9"	5'6"	5'9"	5'4"
Weight:	163	124	164	130
Year Low weight:	158	120	147	123
Year High weight:	168	130	169	139
% trying to lose:	19	43	18	36
% trying to gain:	29	14	33	Ø

Given the differences in desire to lose weight, the Army's Screening Weight Table with Caution Zone was used to determine if more females than males were overweight. This table lists caution and maximum weights determined by an individual's gender, age (17-19, 20-27, 28-39, 40+), and height. A weight code was computed for each soldier to assign the soldier to one of the following categories: Ø, 1Ø+ lb below caution; 1, fewer than 10 lb below caution; 2, between and including caution and maximum; 3, over maximum. Percentages of male and female soldiers for each weight category appear in Table 19 and reveal that although the weight distributions for females appear different than the weight distributions for males, they are not significantly different. Thus the gender difference in effort to lose weight is not because more females than males are overweight. A possible explanation for the greater percentage of female than male soldiers' making some effort to lose body weight is that the female personnel, like females generally in our society, are highly conscious of being overweight.

Table 19: Distribution Among Weight Categories*

	Weight Category			
	Ø	1	2	3
Population				
Overall	40	23	17	20
Males (97)	42	26	13	19
Females (23)	3Ø	13	3Ø	26

^{*}Numbers are percents.

Conceivably, wanting to lose weight may be related to choosing foods based on nutrition. This hypothesis was investigated by computing correlations on desire to lose weight and how often food was chosen based on nutrition for the entire population, for those who are overweight, for each base, and for

soldier's gender. Significant relationships occurred for the entire female population and for the female population stationed at Ft. Lewis. So, for female soldiers, but not for male soldiersor the overweight, nutrition awareness and a desire to lose weight are related.

Gender Differences.

Analyses were done to explore differences between male and female soldiers in nutrition-related behavior, knowledge, and attitudes. To accomplish this the total population was divided by gender, irrespective of location. Table 20 reports gender differences that are consistent with more female than male soldiers wanting to lose weight.

Table 20: Reported Behavior toward Nutrition by Gender*

	Males	Females	
Choose food based on nutrition			
at least weekly	41	62	
Eat two or fewer meals per day	49	86	
Add sugar to kool-aid	72	17	
Add sugar to lemonade	68	21	
Choose if wanting to loose:			
chicken without skin	83	97	
fruit over pastry	65	89	
baked over fried chicken	5Ø	75	
non-gravied potatoes	39	64	

^{*}Percent agreeing with the statement.

Few differences in nutrition related knowledge and attitudes occurred. Female soldiers are more likely to know the properties of low fat milk but less likely to know that water is essential for proper body function.

Gender differences in attitudes toward the Army's Nutrition Initiatives, Table 21, reveal females report using the cards more often than males. As the number who reported card use exceeds the number wanting to lose weight, roughly 8% of the population surveyed use the card information to maintain current weight. Female soldiers appear to be more positive toward the nutrition information and are more likely than male soldiers to report that this information has improved their attitude toward proper nutrition.

Table 21: Attitudes toward Nutrition Initiatives by Gender*

Who Hardanda 2 H 3 2	Males	Females
The "calorie cards" help me select food low in calories The nutrition information:	32	71
-is being supplied to help us choose more nutritious foods	5Ø	74
<pre>-has improved my attitude toward proper nutrition</pre>	d 22	52

^{*}Percent agreeing with the statement.

Nutrition Awareness.

Soldiers interested in nutrition or weight control should be more receptive to the nutrition information supplied by the Army than soldiers who are less interested in nutrition or weight control. To investigate this hypothesis the entire population was divided into a nutritionally aware and a nutritionally unaware group based on their responses to the item:

How often do you choose foods based on nutrition content? Soldiers who made nutritionally based choices at least weekly were designated as nutritionally aware; soldiers who made choices based on nutrition less than weekly were designated as nutritionally unaware. Analyses were done on gender, nutrition-related knowledge, stated behavior and attitudes. Table 22 shows the population of nutritionally aware and unaware soldiers by weight code and gender.

Across weight codes, females are more likely to be nutritionally aware than nutritionally unaware whereas males are more likely to be nutritionally unaware than nutritionally aware, except for individuals who are over maximum weight (weight code 3).

Table 22: Percentage of Nutritionally Aware and Unaware Soldiers

bу	Weight	Code	and	Gender

-		Weigh	it Code	
Nutritionally Aware	Ø	1	2	3
Males	34	36	46	61
Females	57	67	57	66
Nutritionally Unaware				
Males	66	64	54	39
Females	43	33	43	33

Table 23 shows soldiers' attitudes toward nutrition by nutrition awareness. Significant differences occurred on all items. The more nutritionally aware soldiers are more positive toward the food offered in the dining hall, the nutrition information supplied by the Army, and the role of proper nutrition than those who are less nutritionally aware.

Table 23: Attitudes toward Nutrition of Nutritionally Aware and Unaware Soldiers*

	Nutrition Unaware	Awareness Aware
The current food offered in the dining hall provides a healthful diet.	38	51
The nutrition information: -has influenced my food choices at restaurants and at home	1Ø	28
-has increased my awareness of proper nutrition	21	45
-has improved my attitude towards proper nutrition	18	41
Proper nutrition: -is important to overall health -is important to overall fitness	8Ø 76	90 91

^{*}Percentage agreeing with the statement.

Food Service Personnel

Food service personnel (n=12) working in the civilian contract dining facility at Ft. Riley were interviewed on their attitudes toward the Nutrition Initiatives and their perceptions of the soldiers nutrition-related attitudes and behaviors. Percentages compiled on these interviews are shown in Tables 24, 25, and 26.

Table 24: Food Service Personnel's Attitudes
Toward Low Calorie Foods*

	Percent
It is important to prepare low calorie items at every meal	100
My job is more time consuming now that I am preparing low calorie high nutritious items	25
The number of portions I prepare of high calorie items decreased since the nutrition program was started	17
There is more food waste since I have begun preparing low calorie high nutritious items	Ø
Low calorie items take more time to prepare	Ø

^{*}Percentage agreeing with the statement.

Table 24 reveals very positive attitudes toward low calorie items. All personnel, at this contract dining facility, agree that preparing low calorie foods at every meal is important, think there is no additional food waste, and the preparation time involved for low and high calorie food is similar. However, a few (25%) think their job is more time consuming now that low calorie, high nutritious food items have been added to the standard items.

The food service personnel's attitudes toward the Nutrition Initiatives are shown in Table 25. Whereas most food service personnel (92%) do not agree that providing fresh fruit is difficult, everyone mentioned that supplying fruit other than apples and oranges is difficult. These food service personnel believe customers would choose fruit over pastries on a more frequent basis if a wider variety of fruit were obtainable.

Seventeen percent of the food service personnel think their customers find the cards helpful for food selection. Most food service personnel believe their customers do not use the cards because soldiers attending the PLDC go through the line so rapidly that most never look at them. However, these personnel also believe that females and weight conscious customers find the cards useful.

Table 25: Food Service Personnel's Attitudes toward Nutrition Initiatives*

	Percent
My customers find the "calorie cards" helpful in food selection	17
Providing fresh fruit at each meal is difficult	8
There is no need to reduce the amount of salt in the standard recipes	8

^{*}Percentage agreeing with the statement.

Although most food service personnel agree that high calorie food is not necessary for the troops to do their jobs well, they support or are neutral on having eggs available for breakfast, and meat and potatoes available at every meal. Moreover, consistent with the information from the troops, they believe the troops know the low calorie and high nutritious items, but believe most troops prefer and would choose the high calorie items.

Table 26: Food Service Personnel's Perceptions of Troops*

	Percent
Troops should have:	
* eggs available for breakfast each day * a breakfast of eggs, some meat, potatoes	42
toast and coffee	67
* meat and potatoes at every meal	73
* high calorie food to do their jobs well	42
Troops would choose:	
fried/baked chicken	8
low/high calorie food	33
whole/low fat milk	5Ø
non-buttered/buttered vegetables	58
fresh fruit/cakes and pies	25
potatoes with/without gravy	8

^{*}Percentage agreeing with the statement or selecting the low calorie or more nutritious alternative.

CONCLUSIONS

This study was designed to assess the impact of the U.S. Army's Nutrition Initiatives on the awareness, perceptions, attitudes, behaviors, and nutrition knowledge of both soldiers eating in garrison dining facilities and food service personnel

working in these facilities towards these initiatives. This effort was part of a larger study conducted by U.S. Army Research Institute of Environmental Medicine that included measures of nutrient consumption and menu utilization. Soldiers attending the NCO Academy PLDC course at Ft. Riley and soldiers stationed at Ft. Lewis were chosen as test units by FORSCOM and TRADOC.

One hundred forty-two soldiers, 113 males and 29 females, and 12 food service personnel participated. Soldiers completed a three-part questionnaire designed to assess soldiers' background, nutrition knowledge and awareness, nutrition behaviors, and nutrition-related attitudes and perceptions. Food service personnel were interviewed on their perceptions and attitudes toward the Nutrition Initiatives.

Results reveal the soldiers were more likely to eat at the base dining facility on the weekdays, with breakfast and the midday meal being better attended than the dinner or late night meal. Most soldiers reported that they were raised on a cooking style which is characterized by high cholesterol breakfast items. They were more likely to select food based on historical preferences or appearances than nutritional value. Few soldiers reported using the nutrition information away from the dining facility. More soldiers find the calorie content and nutritional value cards in the dining facility more helpful in selecting low calorie foods than in selecting items high in nutritional value. Most soldiers believe the Army is supplying the nutrition information to aid weight control, and help them make good nutritional food choices, but believe the food currently available does not reflect the Army's intent.

There were some gender differences. More females than males want to lose weight and know the low calorie food choice. Soldiers at both bases are similar in nutrition-related knowledge and this knowledge is unrelated to education. Most soldiers know the negative aspects of fast food meals, the sources of protein, the desirable aspects of calcium, and the proper caloric intake for young, inactive adults. Few soldiers know all the characteristics of low fat milk, the nutrient which provides the highest calories per ounce, the major food groups, the sources of B vitamins, and carbohydrates.

Some soldiers select food based on nutritional value, and some soldiers use the information provided to track their caloric consumption. Thirty-five percent of the soldiers wanting to lose weight use the calorie and nutrition content cards to choose food.

Data suggest salt usage and cholesterol intake by consuming eggs and red meat may be highly entrenched, possibly resulting from attitudes developed from parental eating styles. The

self-reported results on sodium consumption indicate that 40% of this sample salt their food before tasting it, and 60% indicate that salt is important to their enjoyment of food. When asked to choose the lower calorie or more nutritious item of two alternatives, most soldiers were able to choose the more nutritious or low calorie item. However, fewer soldiers choose to eat the lower calorie or more nutritious item. Those trying to lose weight choose the low calorie alternative more often than those not wanting to lose weight. Soldiers may not have acquired specific knowledge about nutrition, such as sources of B vitamins, but have acquired practical knowledge about nutritional food choices. Food service personnel are positive towards the Nutrition Initiatives. Food service personnel report that females and weight conscious soldiers use the food information cards.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Whether soldiers' knowledge, awareness, and attitudes have been enhanced by the nutrition program or by some other means is unknown. A study testing soldiers immediately after joining the Army and again at the end of a year's exposure to the Army's Nutrition Initiatives, or a study with proper controls would provide a better understanding of the effect of the Army's Nutrition Initiatives on soldiers' attitudes, awareness, behavior and knowledge.

This document reports research undertaken at the US Army Natick Research, Development and Engineering Center and has been assigned No. NATICK/TR-88/022 in the series of reports approved for publication.

LIST OF REFERENCES

- U.S. Senate Select Committee on Nutrition and Human Needs. (1977) <u>Dietary goals for the United States</u>. 2nd edition. Washington D.C.: Government Printing Office.
- 2. Murray, B. K. (1977) Testing consumers' knowledge of food and nutrition. Food Product Development, 11, 15-17.
- 3. Looker, A., Walker, S., Hamilton, L., and Shannon, B. (1982)
 Evaluation of two nutrition education modules for
 hospital staff members. Journal of American Dietetic
 Association, 81, 158-163.
- 4. Carruth, B. R., and Musgrave K.O. (1979) Changing attitudes in community nutrition. <u>Journal of Nutrition Education</u>, <u>11</u>, 127-132
- van den Reek, M., and Keith, R. E. (1984) Knowledge and use of United States dietary goals by university students. Nutrition Reports International, 29, 559-564.
- 6. Schnakenberg, D. D. (29 May, 1986) Letter to Director Science and Advanced Technology Directorate
- 7. Zajonc, R. B. (1968) Attitudinal effects of mere exposure.

 Journal of Personality and Social Psychology Monograph
 Supplement, 9, 1-27.

APPENDIX

AGREEMENT	2 5
I Background Information	27
II Food Use Questions	31
III Nutrition Knowledge	34
Food Service Personnel Interview	39

		1
		N
		*

HUMAN TEST VOLUNTEER AGREEMENT - DA PERSONNEL (NRDC-M 70-4)

NOTICE REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT OF 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a)

NOTICE REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACE ACT OF 1974 (5 0.3.6. 3324)
1. Authority: Section 301 of Title 5, U.S. Code; Sections 1071-1087 and 3012 of Title 44, U.S. Code; and Executive
Order 9397. 2. <u>Principal Purposes:</u> To satisfy the scientific objectives of the study, to provide minimum information necessary to contact you later should it be in your best interests to do so, or should you require medical treatment for a condition
resulting from your participation in this study. 3. <u>Routine Uses:</u> This information will be used as a record of your participation in this study, in analyzing the results of the study without identifying the individual participants. The of the study and in reporting or publishing results of the study without identifying the individual participants. The information also may be used to implement health and communicable disease control programs including reporting of medical conditions as required by law to other federal, state, and local agencies, and to adjudicate claims and determine
benefits. 4. <u>Mandatory or Voluntary Disclosure and Effect on Individual Not Providing Information:</u> Disclosure of requested information is voluntary. If requested information is not furnished, your participation in this
study may be prevented or terminated.
A. VOLUNTEER AGREEMENT
(Please Print)
I,, having full capacity to consent, do hereby volunteer to participate in a research study entitled:Garrison Dining Facility Nutrition Research Study
under the direction of Major Dawn Carlson
The implications of my voluntary participation; the nature, duration, and purpose; the
methods and means by which it is to be conducted; and the inconveniences and nazards which
may reasonably be expected have been explained to me by: Dr. Barbara Quigley
and are set forth on the reverse and any additional pages of this Agreement, which I have
initialed. I have been given an opportunity to read and to keep a copy of this Agreement and to ask questions concerning this study. Any such questions have been answered to my
full and complete satisfaction. Should any further questions arise, I will be able to
contact: Major Dawn Carlson at Autovon 256–4813
I understand that I may revoke my consent and withdraw from the study at any time without
prejudice. I may be required to undergo certain further examinations if, in the opinion of
the attending physician, such examinations are necessary for my health or well-being.
I understand that medical treatment is available for any injury or illness which results
from my participation in this study and that there are no provisions for payment or compen-
sation specifically for such illness or injury. Further information on the rights of numerical
subjects may be obtained from the Office of Chief Counsel, US Army Natick Research and
Development Center (Natick R&D Center), ext. 4322.
Signature, Test Subject
Permanent Address
I was present during the explanation and question period referred to and have witnessed the signature above.
Witness! Signature Date

(Continued, over)

B. DESCRIPTION OF STUDY (by Responsible Investigator)

In the past two years the Army has taken a number of steps to improve the nutritional status and fitness of its personnel. In order to know whether this program has been effective and to determine ways in which it can be improved, we are giving this questionnaire to troops at a number of bases. The questionnaire will find out whether people are aware of this program, what they think about it, whether they have changed their food related behaviors and what the program has taught them about nutrition.

All data and medical information obtained about you as an individual will be considered privileged and held in confidence; you will not be identified in any presentation of the results. Complete confidentiality cannot be promised, particularly to military personnel, because information bearing on your health may be required to be reported to appropriate medical or command authorities; and applicable regulation "notes the possibility that the Food and Drug Administration and USAMRDX (officials) may inspect the records".

Your answers to these questions will provide a basis for evaluating whether this program is working and how it can be improved. Thank you for your cooperation.

	Signature of Responsible Investigator	Organization
Initialed by test subject:		

I. Background information. This section provides information that enables us to group individuals with similar backgrounds together in our analyses.

Read each question carefully and fill in the space provided with your responses. Certain questions have specific instructions associated with them. Please read these instructions carefully.

ι.	INSTALLATION CODE (To be supplied by testers.)
2.	DINING FACILITY CODE (To be supplied by testers.)
3.	Indicate your AGE at last birthday.
4.	Check the space next to your RACE.
	CaucasianHispanic
5.	Indicate your SEXMaleFemale
6.	What is your current height? weight
7.	Do you smoke? Yes No
8.	Are you trying to lose weight? Yes No
9.	Are you trying to gain weight? Yes No
10.	If you are trying to lose weight indicate how much by checking the box belowa)5-10b)10-20c)20-30d)more than 30 lbs.
11.	If you are trying to gain weight indicate how much be checking the box belowa)5-10b)10-20c)20-30d)more than 30 lbs.
12.	How much did you weight when you entered the Army?
13.	How much has your weight changed over the past year? low weight during year high weight during year
14.	Indicate your HIGHEST LEVEL OF EDUCATION. Some Grade School Finished Grade School Some High School High School Graduate (includes GED) Skilled Job Training Some College College Graduate Beyond College

15.	How long h	nave you l	oeen IN MI	LITARY SE	RVICE?			
			years	mo	nths			
16.	How much of represents	do you LII s your op:	KE MILITAR inion.	Y SERVICE	? Circle	the nur	mber whic	ch
very	ike Di moder	islike rately	Dislike a little	Neutra		,	Like erately	Like very much
much 1		2	3	4	5		6	7
17.	Indicate y	your PRES	ENT Rank.					
18.	Do you red cards)? YES NO	ceive a Si	EPARATE RA	TIONS ALL	OWANCE (1	noney ins	stead of	meal
19.	What ONE Table 1 line. Chinese English French General German Greek Italian Japanes	e n l America n			sed on? Jewish Mexican New Engla Polish (8 Soul Southern Spanish Seafood Other (p.	and E Eastern	n Europe) ican)	
20.	If you are WHICH MEAN "brunch" o	LS DO YOU on Saturd	EAT AT YO ays or Sun	OUR DINING days, cor	FACILIT'	₹? If y	ou have	week
		Mon. Yes No	Tues. Yes No		Thurs. Yes No	Fri. Yes No	Sat. Yes No	Sun. Yes No
Brea	akfast							
Mid-	-day meal						<u> </u>	
Ever	ning Meal							
Afte	er Evening							

21.	WHERE DO Indicate	YOU how	EAT when often by	you do t	not eat in in one bla	the mil nk in e	itary di ach line	ining fa ∍.	icility?
				Never	Less than once		4-7 times	8-14 times	

		Never	Less than once a week	1-3 times a week	4-7 times a week	8-14 times a week	15 or more a week
a.	Private residence (girlfriend's house, friend's or relative's house, your home, your barracks, bringing your food, etc.)		Name of the Original States				
b.	An installation snack facility (the bowling alley, the exchange, etc.)			***************************************			
c.	An installation NCO clu EM or Airmen Club, or service club	b,					
d.	Diner, snack bar, pizza parlor, or drive-in off the installation (or having it delivered)		-				
e.	Quality restaurant off the installation			<u></u>			
f.	Bar or tavern (with alcoholic beverages) off the installation					,	
g.	From vending machines				<u></u>		<u></u>
h.	From mobile snack or lunch trucks		***************************************			<u></u>	<u></u>
i.	Other (write it below and indicate how often)						

22.	isted below are 14 GENERAL AREAS OF CONCERN. For each topic or area	
	ndicate whether it is a significant problem, a minor problem, neither	r
	problem nor an attraction, a minor attraction, or a significant	
	ttraction for this dining facility in your opinion.	

	area or topic	Signifi- cant Problem	Minor Problem	Neither Problem Nor Attrac- tion	Minor Attrac- tion	Signifi- cant Attrac- tion
a.	Convenience of location	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	**************************************		-	
b.	General dining facility environment		***************************************			
c.	Too much military atmosphere	***************************************				
d.	Expense					
e.	Hours of operation					
f.	Monotony of same facility			<u></u>		
g.	Quality of food				 	
h.	Quantity of food					
i.	Service by dining facility personnel					
j.	Variety of regular meal food (weekday only)					
k.	Variety of the regular meal food (weekend only)			<u> </u>		
1.	Variety of the short order food					
m.	Speed of service or lines					
23	 If you have a REGULARLY attending the dining fac meals per week you do no "zero meals not attended 	ility at o t attend b	certain ti Secause of	mes, indic this acti	cate now Lvity. (om many Indicate
Me	als not attended: 0 1	2-4 !	5 6-7	8-10 Mo	re than 10	

	7000 USE quescions.
Pleas best	e answer the following questions by placing a mark on the line that represents how often you do a particular activity.
24. H N	ow often do you drink beer, wine or mixed drinks? ever Monthly Weekly Daily 6x a day
	ow often do you use the salad bar in this dining facility? ever Monthly Weekly Daily 6x a day
	ow often do you choose food based on what you like? ever Monthly Weekly Daily 6x a day
27. F	ow often do you choose foods based on nutrition content? ever Monthly Weekly Daily 6x a day
	ow often do you choose food because the food looks good? ever Monthly Weekly Daily 6x a day
1	ow often do you use the information now available to you on the ood service menu to keep track of your caloric consumption? ever Monthly Weekly Daily 6x a day
	f available, how often do you take advantage of the low calorie menu? [ever Monthly Weekly Daily 6x a day
31.	I/A Now often do you drink low fat milk? Never Monthly Weekly Daily 6x a day
	Now often do you drink whole milk? Never Monthly Weekly Daily 6x a day
33.	Please indicate how often you eat the following foods by marking the appropriate place in the line next to the name of the food.
p	Never Monthly Weekly Daily 6x a da reakfast cereals (Except iffed wheat, sugar puffs and shredded wheat)
2. E 3. B 4. G 5. M 6. B	ggs
8. B	eer

					Neve	er	Mo	nthly	Wee	ekly	Da	ily	6x	a	day
11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	Fried Chick Sausages Apple pie Lunch meats Carrots Canned Vege Steak Bouillon(in Yogurt Crackers Apple pie Salted peat Chocolate Canned or in Shellfish(in Pickles and	s (habo etabl n foc nuts candy packa shrim	ologna es ods or , , , , ,	dri oups	is, c.) nks)		MO								
Plea	ase answer resents you	the i	follow itude	ing	quest.	ions	by ci	rclin	g the	e number	tha	t be:	st		
34.	Do you sal	t foo	d at	the	table	bef	ore ta	sting	it?						
	Always	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Nev	er					
35.	How import	ant i	is sal	t to	your	enj	oyment	of f	ood?						
	Not important	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		Very portant					
36.	How import	ant :	is sug	gar ç	lo Aon	r en	joymer	t of	food	?					
	Not important	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		Very portant					
37.	I usually appropriat	eat _ e nui	me mbers)	eals	and _	s	nacks	each	day.	(please	fil	l in	the	е	
38.	For me thea) brea	lar kfas	gest m t	neal _b) d	of th linner	e da	y is u _c) lu	suall inch	d) late n	ight	mea	1		
39.	If you area) eatb) trimc) leav	it a: the	ll bed fat	cause	e you	like	the t	do yo aste	ou						
40.	I drink lo	ts o	f mill	k in	order	to	have s	trong	g bon	es.		٠			
	Always	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Nev	er					
41.	I eat plen	ty o	f prot	tein	so I	can	build	stro	ng mu	scles.					
	Always	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Nev	er					

42.	I eat	lots	of	carbol	nydrate	s to	impro	ve my	enduran	ce.		
	Always	5	1	2	3 4		5 6	7	Neve	r		
43.	I eat	lots	of	citru	s fruit	s to	avoid	cold	s and fl	u.		
	Always	s	1	2	3 4		5 6	7	Neve	r		
	a. b. c. d. e. f.	non 1/2 1 g 1 1 2 g mor	e glas: /2 (las: e t	ass s glass ses han 2	u drink glasses	š				nle?		
45.	ро Ло	u add	sa	T£ £0	tne rol	.TOM.	ENG TOO	NO NO	the tak	Con't eat	that	food
boi egg tom boi bak boi spa coo	nch fr led eg s(othe atoes led po ed pot led ri ghetti ked br	gs r tha tatoes atoes ce or ce eakfa	es s othe ast	r past cereal	a s ormally	- - - -	salt	too:	 	,		
Lis	t othe	r foc	oas	you no	TMATTY	auu	Sait					
46.	ро ус	ou ado	d su	igar to	the f	ollo	wing f YES	oods 8 N	at the t	able? Don't eat	: that	food
tea hot col fre gra gra blu mac str	fee cerea dench to apefrui apes aeberri caroni awberr ol-aid aonade	eal oast it ies										

List other foods you normally add sugar to:

III. Nutrition Knowledge. This section provides information related to overall nutrition knowledge. We do not expect you to know all the correct answers.

Please circle the letter next to the correct answer for each question. Circle only one letter for each question.

- 47. Fast food meals are usually:
 - a. high in protein and low in salt
 - b. not at all nutritious
 - c. high in salt & fat
 - d. high in sugar & low in fat
 - e. high in fiber
- 48. Calcium:
 - a. is a major source of energy
 - b. helps build bones and teeth
 - c. is found primarily in eggs
 - d. is found primarily in fruits
 - e. is toxic in your diet
- 49. Protein is found in:
 - a. vegetable oil
 - b. meats, fish, and poultry
 - c. fruits
 - d. meats only
 - e. none of the above
- 50. Carbohydrates are:
 - a. found in grains
 - b. higher in calories than fats
 - c. building blacks of protein
 - d. found in meats
 - e. higher in calories than proteins
- 51. Lean meats are a good source of:
 - a. vitamin E
 - b. the B vitamins
 - c. vitamin C
 - d. vitamin D
 - e. carbohydrates
- 52. Iron:
 - a. is found in cheese
 - b. is a kind of vitamin
 - c. is found in salt
 - d. is found in whole grains and red meats
 - e. none of the above
- 53. Lowfat milk has:
 - a. fewer calories than whole milk
 - b. less cholesterol than whole milk
 - c. as much calcium and protein as whole milk
 - d. a & b only
 - e. all of the above

- 54. Which nutrient gives the MOST energy (calories) per ounce? a. b. protein c. carbohydrate d. sugar e. vitamins Which food is in the SAME food group as chicken? 55. a. yogurt fish b. c. potatoes d. apples cereal e. Which entree is the BEST choice for a reducing diet? a. fried chicken broiled fish b. broiled steak c. macaroni & cheese d. ham & cheese sandwich e. Which food is LOWEST in sodium (salt)? american cheese a. baked potato b. c. canned tomato soup đ. bologna e. corn flakes Young adult men of average weight and low activity should consume about 58. how many calories per day? a. 500 b. 7,000 c. 3,000 d. 1,000 e. 5,000 Which of the following is NOT a good source of fiber? a. carrots b. popcorn c. steak d. almonds e. celery What are the four major food groups? 60. a. protein, grains & cereals, milk products, and meats b. milk products, grains & cereals, fruits & vegetables, and meats fruits, vegetables, milk products, and proteins milk products, grains & cereals, fats & oils, meats d.
 - Which of the following is NOT one of the dietary guidelines?
 - a. If you drink alcohol, do so in moderation.
 - b. Reduce your consumption of sodium (salt).
 - c. Eat a variety of foods.

none of the above

- d. Reduce your consumption of starches.
- e. Reduce your consumption of sugar.

Instructions: Each of the following statements is either true or false. Circle a T or F before each statement to indicate true or false.

- 62. T F Butter is a type of unsaturated fat.
- 63. T F Dietary fiber such as bran speeds the movement of food through the digestive tract.
- 64. T F Alcohol consumption has no effect on vitamin needs.
- 65. T F Water is essential for the body to function properly.
- 66. T F A man (157 lbs.) can "burn off" a cup of ice cream by walking for thirty minutes.
- 67. T F Fat is more than twice the calories as carbohydrate.
- 68. T F It is necessary to take a vitamin pill to obtain all the essential nutrients.
- 69. T F The ingredients listed on food labels are arranged in order of decreasing quantity.
- 70. T F If food additives (like preservatives and colors) are safe, they do not have to be listed on food label.
- 71. T F When you're physically active, you need more calories than when you're inactive.
- 72. T F Ice cream is a good source of calcium.
- 73. T F Margarine has fewer calories than butter.
- 74. Which of these choices would help you practice better nutrition? (place an A or B in the column to the right to indicate your choice for each item).

В	
baked foods	
salt	
fresh fruit	
chicken without skin	
	B margarine whole milk canned soda baked foods salt fresh fruit chicken without skin

75. If you were trying to lose weight, which would you choose, A or B? (place your choice, either A or B, in the space provided to the right of the item).

A regular menu option fresh fruit low calorie dressings	B low calorie menu option pastries regular dressings	
whole milk	low fat (2%) milk	
fried food	baked food	
lifed food	regular portions	
reduced portions	potatoes without gravy	
potatoes with gravy	Shredded Wheat	
Frosted Flakes	chicken without skin	
chicken with skin	CUICKEN ATCHORC BYIN	

76. I would chose (place your choice, A or B, in the space provided in the right of the item).

3	В	
A baked chicken	fried chicken	
low calorie food	high calorie food	
whole milk	low fat milk	
non-buttered vegetables	buttered vegetables	
fresh fruit	cakes and pies	
gravied potatoes	plain potatoes (no gravy)	

Instructions: For the items listed below we would like you to use the following 1-5 point scale to rate your agreement or disagreement with the statement. In some cases there are no right or wrong answers. We are seeking you opinions.

1 stron		3 neutral or undecided	4 agree	5 strongl agree					
78. 79.	If I eat a lot of cand and nervous afterwards After eating chinese f Candy makes young chil Honey is a more nutrit It is important to tak	Tood I often ge dren overly actious sweetener	et headaches. tive and wild than sugar.	. 1 1 1	2 2 2 2	3 3 3	4 4 4 4	5 5 5 5	
	humid days if you are sweating a lot. If I eat a wide variet	very active and ty of foods I w	vill get	1	2	3	4	5	
	enough vitamins and mi	nerals and dor al supplements	i't need 3.	1	2	3	4	5	
	High sugar foods are a	leading cause	of Cavities	1	2	3	4	5	
84.	The best way to lose we foods that are high in bread, pasta, and roll	n carbohydrates is.	s sucn as	1	2	3	4	5	
85.	Many people have troub their metabolism is to	oo low.		1	2	3	4	5	
86.		an hour and s	still drive	1	2	3	4	5	
	-			Page	11	of	12	pages	-

Please answer all questions referring to the present dining hall.

1	2	3	4		5				
	ly disagree ee	neutral or undecided	agree		ongly gree				
If you	dining hall uses	"calorie cards"	answer 87,	if not	pleas	e s	kip	to	88.
a b	ne "calorie cards" . select food high . select food low . by decreasing m	h in nutritional in calories			1 1 1	2 2 2	3 3 3	4 4 4	5 5 5
a b c d e	ne current food of provides a heal helps overweigh tastes bland is as nutritiou lets me find a as at home or a tastes salty	thful diet t personnel lose s as in a restau low calorie meal	weight		1 1 1 1	2	3 3 3 3	4	5
a b c	restaurants and is being suppli nutritious food is being suppli reach and maint has increased m nutrition	my food choices at home ed to help us ch	personnel pht proper		1	2	3 3 3 3	4	5 5 5
а	roper nutrition: . is important to . is important to	overall health overall fitness	3		1	2 2	3	4	5 5

Food Service Personnel Intervie	₽W								
Please answer all questions ref									
If you label items, which items red yellow green	_ don't label		uon	I. C. X	.TIOW_				
The number of portions I prepare nutritional program was started agree don't	1.	lorie	ite	ems d	lecr	eased	since	the	2
For the items listed below we was 1-5 point scale to rate your ac	vould like yo greement of d	u to isagr	use eeme	the ent w	fol: ith	lowin the	g statem	ent	o
Strongly Disagree Neut	C	е	Str A	ongl	ΣY				
1 2 3	cided 4			5					
It is important to prepare low items at every meal.	calorie	1	2	3	4	5			
There is more food waste since begun preparing low calorie hignutritious items.		1	2	3	4	5			
My job is more time consuming I am preparing low calorie high nutritious items.	now that h	1	2	3	4	5			
Providing fresh fruit at each a difficult.	meal is	1	2	3	4	5			
My customers find the "calorie helpful in food selection.	cards"	1	2	3	4	5			
Low calorie items take more tipprepare than food we generally	me to prepare.	1	2	3	4	5	·		
There is no need to reduce the of salt in the standard recipe	amount s.	1	2	3	4	5			
Troops should have: eggs available for breakfast high calorie food to do thei A good breakfast of eggs, so potatoes, toast and coffee meat and potatoes at each me	r jobs well me meat,			3 3 3					
The troops in my dining hall w in the space provided to the r	ould choose:	(pla tems	ace y	your	cho	oice,	either	. A	or 1
A baked chicken low calorie food whole milk non-buttered vegetables fresh fruit potatoes with gravy	fried ch high cal low fat buttered cakes ar potatoes	orie milk vega d pi	food etab es	les					

		1
		i. •
•	, Y	
		<i>(</i> *
		67